



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
P.O. Box 1450  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

Paper No. 7

**COPY MAILED**

**JUN 18 2003**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

AT&T CORP.  
P.O. BOX 4110  
MIDDLETOWN NJ 07748

In re Application of :  
Sandra Lynn Carrico and Philippe Hebrais :  
Application No. 10/045,786 :  
Filed: January 11, 2002 :  
Atoenry Docket No.: 2001-0416 :  
Title: IPSEC THROUGH L2TP :

DECISION DISMISSING PETITION  
UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.137(F) AS MOOT

This is a decision on the petition filed on May 21, 2003, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.137(f), to revive the above-identified application.

A grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(f) must be accompanied by:

- (1) Notification of the filing of an application in a foreign country or under a multinational treaty that requires 18 month publication<sup>1</sup>;
- (2) The petition fee as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(m), and;
- (3) A statement that the entire delay in filing the notice from the date that the notice was due under 35 U.S.C. §122(b)(2)(B)(iii) until the date the notice was filed was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

Petitioner states that the instant nonprovisional application is the subject of an application filed in either a foreign country or an international application on January 3, 2003.

On December 30, 2002, a Request to Rescind the Nonpublication Request was filed with the Office. Therefore, the Nonpublication Request was rescinded prior to the foreign filing. If an applicant makes a nonpublication request and then rescinds the nonpublication request before or on the date a counterpart application is filed in an eighteen-month publication country, the application will be treated as if the nonpublication request were never made<sup>2</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> See PTO/SB/36 and paragraph on PTO/SB/64a for further information. Both may be downloaded at <http://www.uspto.gov/web/forms/index.html>.

<sup>2</sup> Rescission generally has the effect of voiding, repealing, or annulling a previous action. See Black's Law Dictionary 1308 (7th ed. 1999) (second definition: to make void, to repeal, or annul). Thus, if an applicant makes a nonpublication request under 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(i) and then rescinds (pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §

37 C.F.R. §1.137(f) requires a statement that the entire delay in filing the notice from the date that the notice was due under 35 U.S.C. §122(b)(2)(B)(iii) until the date the notice was filed was unintentional. Since the statement contained in the instant petition varies from the language required by 37 C.F.R. §1.137(f), the statement contained in the instant petition is being construed as the statement required by 37 C.F.R. §1.137(f) and petitioner must notify the Office if this is not a correct interpretation of the statement contained in the instant petition.

For the reason set forth above, the petition under 37 C.F.R. §1.137(f) is **DISMISSED AS MOOT**.

As such, no petition fee is necessary. The petition fee has not been charged to petitioner's Deposit Account.

After the mailing of this decision, the application file will be forwarded to Technology Center 2100 for further processing.

Telephone inquiries regarding *this decision* should be directed to the undersigned at (703) 305-0011.



Paul Shanowski  
Attorney  
Office of Petitions  
United States Patent and Trademark Office

---

122(b)(2)(B)(ii)) the nonpublication request before or on the date a counterpart application is filed in an eighteen-month publication country, the nonpublication request under 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(i) will be treated as annulled and the application will be treated as if the nonpublication request had never been made. See <http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/35usc122b2binterpret.htm>.